

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignin 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,578	08/31/2001	Masakazu Funahashi	OHTN:004	9438
75	90 09/09/2003			
PARKHURST & WENDEL, L.L.P. Suite 210 1421 Prince Street			EXAMINER	
			THOMPSON, CAMIE S	
Alexandria, VA	22314-2805		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1774	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/943,578	FUNAHASHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Camie S Thompson	1774				
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication d for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspond nce address				
- - -	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
2a	n)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un osition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) $1-14$ is/are pending in the application	ation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.					
5	i)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6	i)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8	c) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.					
Appl	ication Papers						
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.					
10) \square The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) \square The proposed drawing correction filed on $_$	is: a)□ approved b)□ ∈	disapproved by the Examiner.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.					
Prio	rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	·					
	 Certified copies of the priority documents. 	nents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in A	Application No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
15	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 						
Attacl	nment(s)						
2) 🔲	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/943,578 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the final rejection is now withdrawn due to the discovery of additional prior art.

- 2. Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed August 19, 2003 have been acknowledged.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-8 and 11-14 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by JP 11-008068 is withdrawn due to applicant's amended claims and argument.
- 4. The rejection of claims 9-10 under 35 U.S.C 103 (a) as being unpatentable over JP 11-008068 in view of Araki et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,489,045 is withdrawn due to applicant's amended claims and argument.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. R¹ and R² are disclosed as not being bonded to each other. Thus, they would not form a saturated carbon ring.

,

Application/Control Number: 09/943,578 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 1-10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hosokawa et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,534,199.

The applied reference has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Hosokawa discloses a an organic electroluminescence device comprising a layer of an organic light emitting medium which is disposed between a pair of electrodes wherein the organic medium comprises mono-, di-, tri- or tetrastyryl derivatives containing amine and anthracene derivatives (see column 2, lines 34-60). Additionally, the reference discloses in column 25, lines 58-68 hole injecting and electron injecting layers in the construction of the EL device as per instant claims 3-10. The structure, EM49, found in the reference in column 22 reads on compounds in instant claims 1-2 and 13.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,578

Art Unit: 1774

Double Patenting

9. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

10. Claims 1-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4 and 9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,534,199. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the reference and applicant's claims disclose an organic electroluminescence device comprising of a layer of an organic light emitting medium that is disposed between a pair of electrodes wherein the organic medium comprises mono-, di-, tri and tetrastyryl derivative containing amine and anthracene derivative compounds.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,578

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the Group are (703) 872-9310 {before finals} and (703) 872-9311 {after finals}.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cyntholes

Page 5